



Centre for Graduate Studies

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

94300 Kota Samarahan
Sarawak
MALAYSIA

PROPOSAL DEFENCE EVALUATION

STUDENT NAME : _____

MATRIC NO : _____

RESEARCH TITLE : _____

SUPERVISOR NAME : _____

FACULTY : _____

A. INTRODUCTION						
Indicators		5	4	3	2	1
1.	The introduction consists of an adequate introductory discussion of the problem					
2.	The problem statement is clearly explained					
3.	The Literature Review is comprehensively done and only stated research works relevant to the study					
4.	The knowledge gap of the study is clearly and specifically stated to justify the need to conduct such study					
5.	The aims/ purposes or objectives were thoroughly presented					
		PART A: TOTAL				/25

PROPOSAL DEFENSE

B. LITERATURE REVIEW AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK						
Indicators		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Cited literature and studies are adequate and relevant to the research problem					
2.	Related literature and studies are recent (five years ago to present year of the study)					
3.	Foreign literature, studies, literature and local studies are present					
4.	Synthesis of the reviewed literature and studies is well-organized, concise (not too long nor too short) and is based on the students' logical analysis of the cited materials					
5.	The sources of the cited literatures and studies are appropriately acknowledged and or credited					
6.	The student is able to determine the variables of the study from the theory presented					
7.	The framework presented conceptualizes the concepts of the theory appropriately					
	PART B: TOTAL					/35

C. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHOD						
Indicators		5	4	3	2	1
1.	The research methodology is well-described and is suitable or fitting to the research problem					
2.	The procedure and or techniques of experiment/gathering data are explained in complete detail					
3.	The research design and method employed are correct and relevant to the fulfillment of the accurate results of the study					
4.	The research instrument is presented and discussed in terms of its validity and reliability					
5.	Analysis techniques are well explained and presented					
	PART C: TOTAL					/25

PROPOSAL DEFENSE

D. OTHERS						
Indicators		5	4	3	2	1
	1.	Originality				
	2.	Presentation				
	3.	Commitment				
	PART D: TOTAL		/15			

OVERALL MARK: (PART A + PART B + PART C + PART D)	/100
--	-------------

EVALUATOR:

SIGNATURE :

NAME :

DATE :
